

**PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE - WEDNESDAY, 13
SEPTEMBER 2017**

UPDATES FOR COMMITTEE

5. COMMITTEE UPDATES (Pages 1 - 2)

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE - 13 SEPTEMBER 2017

UPDATES FOR COMMITTEE

3 (c) - 7 Plover Drive, Milford on Sea (Application 17/10953)

1 Additional letter of support from the applicant.

3 (d) - Keble, 7 Christchurch Road, Kingston, Ringwood (Application 17/10680)

Extra comments received following re-consultation:

Ringwood Town Council - PAR 4 Recommend refusal The committee do not wish to change their objection.

Objection from Petworth, 8 Christchurch Rd

Objection points made remain. Comment on the amendment:

- Enclosure of the dormer/balcony will slightly reduce the level of overlooking but will not remove it.
- Concerns about loss of privacy
- Mass and bulk
- Overbearing impact
- Loss of outlook and light especially from main rear bedroom.

3 (f) - land of Poplar Lane (adjacent Godwins Mede), Bransgore (Application 17/10861)

Following discussions with Hampshire County Council's Flood and Water Management team (Ordinary Watercourses), the applicant would need to obtain their prior written consent to undertake works on an existing watercourse or open ditch. On this basis, an informative note will be added to advise the applicant that should they wish to culvert any existing watercourse or open ditch, prior consent should be sought from the Lead Local Flood Authority (HCC).

3 (h) - St John's Car Park, St John's Street, Hythe (Application 17/10943)

There have been 6 additional letters of support and 5 additional letters of objection resulting in a total of 308 letters of support and 43 letters of objection. The additional letters raise similar points to those set out in Paragraphs 10.1 and 10.2 of the report.

The applicants have also submitted an electronic petition in support of the application with 3969 signatories. In addition, they have submitted 22 individual letters of objection and 43 individual letters of support that were sent directly through to them.

Plan 3341 22 is now superseded by Plan 3341 22 rev B (to tie in with other plans).

For the avoidance of doubt, the green travel plan requirement is to be secured by condition and not (as stated in Paragraph 14.36 of the report) through a Section 106 legal agreement.